Problematic Journeys: Health Insurance



KEY TAKEAWAYS

- + The journey health insurance consumers most want to see improved is 'getting help from the customer service department', with 15% saying this journey is broken.
- + The journey the fewest consumers think needs improvement is 'completing the enrollment'.

ABOUT

This chart shows the percentage of consumers that think each interaction with a specific health insurer needs to be improved, aggregated across all health insurance companies included in the analysis.

Thinking of your interactions with [a health insurer], which of these experiences most needs to be improved?

(Select up to two options)



Base: 9,055 US consumers Source: Qualtrics XM Institute Q3 US Benchmark Study

How Journeys Impact NPS: Health Insurance



KEY TAKEAWAYS

- + When none of these journeys are identified as broken, consumers provide grocery companies with an NPS of 45. When one or more journey needs improvement, consumers give an NPS of 0.
- + Consumers who say 'submitting and resolving a claim' is a broken journey provide an NPS 40 points lower than those that didn't say so.
- + 'Understanding statements' is the journey that, when broken, has the least impact on a health insurer's NPS.

ABOUT

These charts show the NPS that consumers give a health insurer when they say a journey needs improvement, the NPS consumers give health insurers when a journey is not in need of improvement, and the difference between those two NPS scores.

NPS difference when consumers identify a health insurance journey that needs improvement

NPS when selected		NPS when not selected	ed NPS difference
Submitting and resolving a claim	-16	24	40
Getting help from customer service department	-10	24	34
Finding doctors covered by your plan	-1	22	23
Completing the enrollment	-2	20	23
Using online customer service resources		0 21	21
Finding and selecting the right plan		3 21	18
Receiving useful updates		20	16
Receiving status on the health plan		20	16
Understanding statements		6 20	14

Base: 9,055 US consumers Source: Oualtrics XM Institute O3 US Benchmark Study

Methodology



STUDY OVERVIEW

The data for this report comes from a U.S. Consumer study that Qualtrics XM Institute conducted in the third quarter of 2021. Using an online survey, XM Institute collected data from 9,055 consumers within the United States of America. To ensure that the data was reflective of the population, we set quotas for responses to match the gender, age, ethnicity, geographical region, and income according to the latest available U.S. Census.

DATA CALCULATION

In **Figure 1**, we calculated the chart by taking the total number of consumers that identified that journey as needing improvement for all qualifying companies within this industry and dividing it by the total number of respondents for all qualifying companies in this industry. A qualifying company had 100+ respondents that recently interacted with that company.

In **Figure 2**, we derived the NPS scores for the first chart by taking the total number of promoters and detractors that selected each journey for improvement for all qualifying companies within this industry and performing the NPS calculation using the total number of respondents in this industry that chose that journey for improvement. The second chart was calculated by taking the total number of promoters and detractors that didn't select each journey for improvement for all qualifying companies within this industry and performing the NPS calculation using the total number of respondents in this industry that didn't choose that journey for improvement. The difference was calculated by subtracting the NPS for those that did select each journey for improvement from the NPS for those that did not select that journey for improvement*.

The NPS calculated for consumers who thought none of the journeys needed improvement was calculated by using an exclusive 'none of these need improvement' option in place of the journey and calculating an NPS as was done for the first chart.

*NPS difference numbers may appear slightly different than expected due to rounding.

AUTHORS

Bruce Temkin, XMP, CCXP – Head of Qualtrics XM Institute Moira Dorsey, XMP – XM Catalyst Talia Quaadgras – Senior Research Associate **PUBLICATION DATE**

April 2022